

**RULE 26(f) MEETING REPORT**

**CASE NAME: Minhye Park v. David Dennis Kim, M.D.**

**DOCKET NO.: 1:20-cv-02636**

Have the parties met and conferred? Yes Date? November 5, 2020

Date the Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures were exchanged? Not yet. We agreed to serve this disclosure as well as initial demands by November 19, 2020.

**PROPOSED DISCOVERY PLAN**

1. Deadline for parties to provide properly executed authorizations/releases: Authorizations by December 15, 2020 and parties to respond to all other initial demands by January 15, 2021.
2. Deadline to join new parties or amend the pleadings: December 15, 2020.
3. Should any changes be made in the limitations on discovery imposed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or by local rule?<sup>1</sup> Yes ☐ No ☒
4. Deadline to file any protective order: Not applicable
5. The parties shall complete all fact discovery by: June 15, 2021.

Deposition of plaintiff to be conducted via video-conference with an interpreter by March 30, 2021.

Deposition of Dr. Kim to be conducted on or by April 19, 2021.

6. The parties shall complete expert discovery, if necessary, by: Plaintiff may not do expert discovery because Kim's negligence is obvious. Defendant intends to retain an expert.
7. The parties shall file any pre-motion conference request by:<sup>2</sup> To be determined as needed.
8. Should the Court hold an early settlement conference in this action?<sup>3</sup> Yes ☒ No ☐

Plaintiff's counsel requests a settlement conference on or around February 26, 2021. Defense counsel does not object to participation in a settlement conference after initial discovery has been exchanged but maintains that a settlement demand should be conveyed before participating in a conference.

9. Have the parties agreed to a plan regarding electronic discovery?<sup>4</sup> Yes \_\_\_ No x\_\_\_

This is premature and does not seem to be applicable to the issues in this case, at this juncture.

10. Do the parties consent to trial before a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636?<sup>5</sup>  
(Answer no if any party declines to consent without indicating which party has declined) Yes ☐ No ☒

<sup>1</sup> If yes, the parties should be prepared to address the proposed changes at the initial conference.

<sup>2</sup> The parties are reminded to comply with the individual rules of the presiding district court judge.

<sup>3</sup> Prior to the initial conference, counsel shall discuss with their clients and their adversaries whether an early settlement conference, or other form of alternative dispute resolution, is appropriate in this case and be prepared to explain their reasons to the Court.

<sup>4</sup> If yes, the parties shall file a letter detailing the parties' proposed plan regarding electronic discovery.

<sup>5</sup> The fillable consent form AO 85 is available at [https://www.nyed.uscourts.gov/forms/all-forms/general\\_forms](https://www.nyed.uscourts.gov/forms/all-forms/general_forms) and must be signed by all parties and filed electronically.

**Borelli, Anna Marie**

---

**From:** Newman, Hayley B.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 11, 2020 2:20 PM  
**To:** Borelli, Anna Marie  
**Subject:** FW: Activity in Case 1:20-cv-02636-PKC-LB Park v. Kim Report of Rule 26(f) Planning Meeting

Excellent, thanks!

**From:** ecf\_bounces@nyed.uscourts.gov [mailto:ecf\_bounces@nyed.uscourts.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 11, 2020 2:16 PM  
**To:** nobody@nyed.uscourts.gov  
**Subject:** Activity in Case 1:20-cv-02636-PKC-LB Park v. Kim Report of Rule 26(f) Planning Meeting

**This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.**

**\*\*\*NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS\*\*\*** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

**U.S. District Court**

**Eastern District of New York**

### **Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered by Newman, Hayley on 11/11/2020 at 2:16 PM EST and filed on 11/11/2020

**Case Name:** Park v. Kim  
**Case Number:** [1:20-cv-02636-PKC-LB](#)  
**Filer:**  
**Document Number:** [11](#)

**Docket Text:**  
**[REPORT of Rule 26\(f\) Planning Meeting \(Newman, Hayley\)](#)**

**1:20-cv-02636-PKC-LB Notice has been electronically mailed to:**

Hayley B Newman [hnewman@hpmb.com](mailto:hnewman@hpmb.com)

Jae Soog Lee [jae@lawjsl.com](mailto:jae@lawjsl.com)

Olivia Darius [odarius@hpmb.com](mailto:odarius@hpmb.com)

Robert B. Gibson [rgibson@hpmb.com](mailto:rgibson@hpmb.com)

**1:20-cv-02636-PKC-LB Notice will not be electronically mailed to:**

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

**Document description:**Main Document

**Original filename:**n/a

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP NYEDStamp\_ID=875559751 [Date=11/11/2020] [FileNumber=15341201-0  
] [95bc035846dec0fd9c7705d916b173ea0f59876ee19a92003ca7c2224d03e28bf  
8a8c82f71cbc7df63ec1ec2b2f323123caba13835e9ec7233c260de012abf]]